Fe-doping effects on the structural and electrochemical properties of 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ electrode material

F. Lian, M. Gao, W. H. Qiu, P. Axmann & M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens

Journal of Applied Electrochemistry

ISSN 0021-891X Volume 42 Number 6

J Appl Electrochem (2012) 42:409-417 DOI 10.1007/s10800-012-0414-4





Your article is protected by copyright and all rights are held exclusively by Springer Science+Business Media B.V.. This e-offprint is for personal use only and shall not be selfarchived in electronic repositories. If you wish to self-archive your work, please use the accepted author's version for posting to your own website or your institution's repository. You may further deposit the accepted author's version on a funder's repository at a funder's request, provided it is not made publicly available until 12 months after publication.



ORIGINAL PAPER

Fe-doping effects on the structural and electrochemical properties of 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ electrode material

F. Lian · M. Gao · W. H. Qiu · P. Axmann · M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens

Received: 27 February 2012/Accepted: 9 April 2012/Published online: 26 April 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

Abstract With the aim of achieving a high-performance 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ material, a series of 0.5 $Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_{(1-x-y)}O_2$ (0.3 $\leq x \leq 0.5$, 0.4 \leq v < 0.5) samples with low Fe content was synthesized via coprecipitation of carbonates. Its crystal structure and electrochemical performance were characterized by means of powder X-ray diffraction, field emission scanning electron microscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, galvanostatic charge/discharge testing, cyclic voltammetry, and electrochemical impedance spectra. Rietveld refinements with a model integrating $R \ \overline{3}m$ and $Fm \ \overline{3}m$ indicate that a low concentration of Fe incorporated in 0.5Li₂ MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ decrease a disordered cubic domain of the composite structure. The preferential distribution of Fe in cubic rock-salt contributes to an unimaginable decrease of c-axis parameter of the predominant layered structure as the Fe content increases. Moreover, including Fe as a dopant can kinetically improve crystallization and also change the ratio of Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} and Ni^{3+}/Ni^{2+} . As a result, 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂ exhibits lower Warburg impedance and higher reversible capacity than the undoped material.

Keywords Li-ion battery · Electrochemical property · Doping

F. Lian $(\boxtimes) \cdot M$. Gao $\cdot W$. H. Qiu

School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China e-mail: lianfang@mater.ustb.edu.cn

P. Axmann · M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens Centre of Solar Energy and Hydrogen Research Baden-Württemberg, Helmholtzstr. 8, 89081 Ulm, Germany

1 Introduction

Recently, $xLi_2MnO_3(1 - x)LiMO_2$ (M = Ni and Mn, etc., 0 < x < 1) solid solutions have been considered as a promising substitute for commercial LiCoO₂ because of its higher capacity, better stability, safer behavior, and cheaper raw materials [1, 2]. Li₂MnO₃ integrated into a LiMO₂ matrix not only prevents structural collapse of the layered materials at low Li content, but also can be activated to deliver capacity at high voltage [3, 4]. Elements such as Co^{3+} [5], Al^{3+} [6], and Cr^{3+} [7] have been doped into the series in attempts to improve its cycling stability and/or rate capability. A first principle calculation indicated that the mobility of Li in layered materials mainly depends on the transition metal species, and that including Fe with a large radius ($\gamma_{\text{Fe}^{3+}} = 0.64 \text{ \AA}$) as a dopant can broaden the Li–O interslab distance and thus decrease the energy barrier for Li hopping [8]. Moreover, introduction of iron can also reduce the cost of the electrode material due to its abundance. Our studies have focused on Fe-substituted series, with the aim of achieving a high-performance cathode material that can be produced cheaply. However, Li₂MnO₃-based material with high Fe content above 20 % showed the obvious coexisting phase of layered $(R \ \overline{3}m)$ and cubic $(Fm \ \overline{3}m)$ rocksalt as reported by Tabuchi et al. [9, 10]. In addition, Kikkawa et al. [11] found that an integrated structure led to an inhomogeneous intralayer distribution of multiple transition metal ions and a large capacity fading during the subsequent cycles [12, 13]. The previous studies suggested that appropriate Fe content is critical to improve the structural and electrochemical properties of xLi₂MnO₃. (1 - x)LiMO₂ solid solution. So our studies have focused on $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O_2$ with low Fe content, its crystal structure and electrochemical performance are characterized by means of powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), galvanostatic charge/discharge testing, cyclic voltammetry (CV), and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS). Moreover, the material with Fe³⁺-substituted for Ni²⁺ and Mn^{4+} simultaneously, which is rarely reported to our knowledge, is also designed and studied considering the charge balance of the system. In our previous study, the coprecipitation of carbonates was responsible for the homogeneous dispersion of Ni and Mn in the precursor, which led to LiNi_{1/2}Mn_{1/2}O₂ with excellent electrochemical performance [14]. As a result, 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_x $Ni_{v}Fe_{(1-x-v)}O_{2}$ (0.3 $\leq x \leq 0.5$, 0.4 $\leq y \leq 0.5$) samples presented herein are also prepared via coprecipitation of carbonates.

2 Experimental

2.1 Preparation

LiOH·H₂O, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (all are 99.9 % in purity), and Mn(NO₃)₂ (50 % aqueous solution) were used as starting materials for $0.5Li_2MnO_3\cdot0.5LiMn_x$ Ni_yFe_(1-x-y)O₂ (0.3 $\leq x \leq 0.5, 0.4 \leq y \leq 0.5$) (samples a-d, as shown in Table 1). A mixed aqueous solution of

transition metal nitrate (2.0 M) and Na₂CO₃ solution (2.0 M) were simultaneously added dropwise into a reactor containing vigorously stirring distilled water. The pH in the reactor was maintained at 9, and the temperature was held at 60 °C for 4 h. The resulting precipitates were filtered and washed three times to remove excess Na⁺, and then dried under nitrogen at 120 °C. The obtained precursor was subsequently mixed with a stoichiometric amount of LiOH·H₂O by grinding. The mixture was finally sintered at 800 °C for 12 h in air.

2.2 Characterization

Powder XRD (Rigaku D/max, Japan) using CuK α radiation was used to identify the crystalline phase of the as-prepared samples. Detailed structure testing was carried out with a scanning step of 0.01 and scanning time of 1 s per step. Profile refinement was then performed on the XRD data using the TOPAS software (Version 2.1, Bruker-AXS). FESEM analysis was performed using a Zeiss supra55 field emission scanning electron microscope (Germany). The precise cation composition of the samples was determined by ICP-OES (Varian Vista-MPX CCD Simultaneous ICP-OES, America). XPS of the compounds were obtained using a PHI5300 spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, America) with monochromatic MgK α radiation (hv = 1253.6 eV). High resolution spectra were recorded in a constant pass

Table 1 ICP results and structural characterizations of 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_(1-x-y)O₂ samples

Sample	Target composition	Stoichiometry from ICP				Layered rock-salt ($R \ \overline{3}m$) phase		Cubic rock-salt $(Fm \ \overline{3}m)$ phase		Reliability factor
		Li	Ni	Mn	Fe	Mass fraction (%)	Lattice parameters (Å)	Mass fraction (%)	Lattice parameters (Å)	
a	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5Li_{2}MnO_{3}{\cdot}0.5LiMn_{0.5}\\ Ni_{0.5}O_{2} \end{array}$	1.19	0.20	0.61	0	92.0	a = 2.8585, c = 14.2508	8.0	4.0875	$R_{wp} = 7.41 \%$ $R_{p} = 5.49 \%$ $R_{exp} = 5.21 \%$ GOF = 1.08
b	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5Li_{2}MnO_{3}{\cdot}0.5LiMn_{0.4}\\ Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O_{2} \end{array}$	1.18	0.20	0.58	0.04	93.5	a = 2.8596, c = 14.2684	6.5	4.0881	$R_{wp} = 7.48 \%$ $R_{p} = 5.66 \%$ $R_{exp} = 6.57 \%$ GOF = 1.09
с	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 Li_2 MnO_3 {\cdot} 0.5 Li Mn_{0.4} \\ Ni_{0.4} Fe_{0.2} O_2 \end{array}$	1.19	0.16	0.59	0.08	91.1	a = 2.8724, c = 14.2274	8.9	4.0943	$R_{wp} = 7.96 \%$ $R_{p} = 5.38 \%$ $R_{exp} = 5.64 \%$ GOF = 1.03
d	$\begin{array}{l} 0.5 Li_2 MnO_3 {\cdot} 0.5 Li Mn_{0.3} \\ Ni_{0.4} Fe_{0.3}O_2 \end{array}$	1.18	0.16	0.54	0.12	89.6	a = 2.8659, c = 14.2423	10.4	4.1218	$R_{wp} = 7.46 \%$ $R_{p} = 5.45 \%$ $R_{exp} = 5.85 \%$ GOF = 1.02

energy mode (20 eV). Charges were referenced against the binding energy (BE) of adventitious carbon (C1s = 284.6 eV) and have associated errors of ± 0.1 eV. The resulting spectra were analyzed using XPS peak fit software with a Shirley-type background subtracted from the recorded spectra. Curve fitting and deconvolution of overlapped peaks was performed by nonlinear least-square fitting with a Gauss-Lorentz curve (ratio 80:20).

2.3 Electrochemical test of the electrodes

For the electrochemical studies, the positive electrode was fabricated from 85 wt% of the synthesized powder samples as the active material, 10 wt% acetylene black as a conductive additive, and 5 wt% polytetrafluoroethylene as a binder. The mixture was stirred, dried and then rolled into a film with a thickness of 100 µm. The electrode film was dried and degreased under vacuum for 24 h at 120 °C to remove any solvent on the electrode surface. Coin cells (CR2032) containing $LiPF_6$ (1 M) dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in a 1:1:1 volume ratio (Beijing Institute of Chemical Reagents, China) as the electrolyte, and metallic lithium and porous polypropylene films as anode and separator, respectively, were assembled and sealed in an argon-filled glove box. Charge and discharge tests were performed galvanostatically between 2.5 and 4.8 V at room temperature at 0.1 C with a LAND CT2001A battery test system (Jinnuo Wuhan Corp., China). CV was carried out on a CHI660A electrochemical workstation (CH Instrumental, China) between 2.0 and 5.0 V at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} . EIS was employed to determine the resistance of cycled cells. The tests were performed under a frequency range of 100 kHz-0.01 Hz using a vibration voltage of 10 mV.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Crystal structure analysis

ICP results as concluded in Table 1 indicate that the average chemical compositions of the obtained materials are very close to the targeted stoichiometry. Powder XRD data for $0.5 \text{Li}_2 \text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5 \text{Li} \text{Mn}_x \text{Ni}_y \text{Fe}_{(1-x-y)} \text{O}_2 \ (0.3 \le x \le 0.5,$ $0.4 \le y \le 0.5$) are shown in Fig. 1. The patterns can be indexed based on a α -NaFeO₂ structure with space group R $\overline{3}m$. And the peaks between 20° and 25° correspond to the superlattice ordering of Li⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ in the transition metal layer [15]. The previous reports suggested that the introduction of Fe into the series lead to the appearance of cubic ($Fm \ \overline{3}m$) rock-salt [9–11]. But cubic structure $Fm \ \overline{3}m$, which show the subtle difference in main diffraction peaks with $R \ \overline{3}m$ cannot be detected from the initial XRD analysis. Rietveld refinement, applied to XRD patterns is an effective technique for local structure analysis [16]. And therefore the samples were analyzed herein in detail by employing the Rietveld refinement with a model integrating $Fm \ \overline{3}m$ (No. 225) and $R \ \overline{3}m$ (No.166) structure. The refinement patterns of 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.2}O₂ sample is shown as an example in Fig. 2, which are in good agreement with the experimental patterns. The calculated structural properties and the reliability factors of refinement are summarized in Table 1. All of $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_x$ $Ni_yFe_{(1-x-y)}O_2$ (0.3 $\le x \le 0.5$, 0.4 $\le y \le 0.5$) samples can be assigned as a composite structure consisting of a predominant layered ($R \ \overline{3}m$) phase and a small amount of cubic rock-salt (Fm 3m). 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.3}Ni_{0.4} Fe_{0.3}O₂ samples with high Fe content exibit the largest proportion of cubic rock-salt about 10.4 %. While 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂ includes 6.5 % cubic structure and 93.5 % layered one, which shows the lower



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_xNi_y$ Fe_(1-x-y)O₂ samples



Fig. 2 Rietveld refinement of $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{LiMn}_{0.4}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{O}_2$ material using a model integrating cubic rock-salts (*Fm* $\overline{3}m$) and layered ones (*R* $\overline{3}m$)

proportion of cubic rock-salt than the undoped $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{M-}\text{nO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{Li}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ samples. Like the previous reports on $x\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot (1 - x)\text{LiMO}_2$ solid solution, the phase composition show dependence on the content of Fe dopant. The samples with high Fe content above 20 % showed the obvious coexisting phase of layered ($R \ \overline{3}m$) and cubic ($Fm \ \overline{3}m$) rock-salt [9–11], while no observable cubic phase was detected from initial XRD analysis for the samples with low Fe content. In addition, the prepare method also contributes to the appearance of the composite structure such as $R \ \overline{3}m$ and $Fm \ \overline{3}$ in the solid solution, our observations from three batches of $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{Li}\text{Mn}_{0.4}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$ samples indicate that a low concentration of Fe-doping can decrease the cubic domain in $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{Li}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}$ O₂ material.

Furthermore, it is found from the rietveld refinement that the lattice constant of the predominant layered phase fluctuates with an increase of Fe content. Incorporation of a small amount of Fe³⁺ replacing Mn⁴⁺ ions ($\gamma_{Mn^{4+}} =$ 0.52 Å, $\gamma_{\text{Fe}^{3+}} = 0.64$ Å) contributes to slightly larger c-axis of the layered structure and larger lattice parameter of the cubic rock-salt for 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂. However, 0.5Li2MnO3.0.5LiMn0.4Ni0.4Fe0.2O2 shows an continuos increasing lattice parameter of $Fm \ \overline{3}m$ phase and a reduced c-axis value of the layered structure as the Fe content increases, while 0.5Li2MnO3 · 0.5LiMn03 Ni0.4Fe0.3O2 exhibit smaller c-axis of the layered structure compared with 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.2}O₂. Change in lattice constant of the composite structure indicates a preferential distribution of Fe in cubic rock-salt, which is in agreement with the previous reports that layered $(R \ \overline{3}m)$ and cubic $(Fm \ \overline{3}m)$ phase of the series with high Fe content were assigned as Fe-substituted Li₂MnO₃ and Mn-substituted LiFeO₂, respectively [11]. However, the occupation ratios of Fe cannot be determined due to the low concentration of Fe dopant and the limitation of analyse method. So the composite structure of the as-obtained material, in which Fe cations energetically prefer the occupation in the cubic rock-salt, should be considered to completely understand the Fe-doping effects on the crystal structure and electrochemical performance of $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O_2$.

Crystallite size is also calculated according to Scherrer equation as the formula (1).

$$D = K\lambda/\beta\cos\theta \tag{1}$$

where *K* is the Scherrer constant (0.89 for integral breadth of spherical crystals w/cubic symmetry), λ is the wavelength, θ is the diffraction angle, and β is the full width at half maximum (FWHM). The mean crystallite size of the sample A, B, C, and D were determined to be 11.8, 12.2, 14.1, and 17.6 nm, responsibility.

3.2 Morphology

Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) images obtained for the $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{Li}\text{Mn}_x\text{Ni}_y\text{Fe}_{(1-x-y)}\text{O}_2$ powders are shown in Fig. 3. The particles in the $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5$ $\text{Li}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ sample exhibit a homogenous morphology, with diameters of around 150–200 nm. The size of the particles in the samples increases as the content of Fe increases; $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{Li}\text{Mn}_{0.3}\text{Ni}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.3}\text{O}_2$ consists of crystallites of around 300 nm in diameter. The results show that the particles and crystallites become large with an increase of Fe content in the materials. This indicates that adding Fe as a dopant can kinetically improve crystall ization.

3.3 Oxidation states of the transition metal ions

Figure 4a, b show the Ni and Mn XPS core spectra for the sample A, B, C, and D, while Fig. 4c demonstrates the Fe XPS core spectra for the sample B, C, and D. In the Ni XPS spectra, a characteristic satellite peak around 860.3 eV is also noted in addition to the Ni(2p3/2) peak. Such a satellite peak is also observed in Li(Ni1/3Co1/3Mn1/3)O2 and is explained as due to the multiple splitting in the energy level of the Ni-containing oxides [17]. The best fit for the Ni(2p3/2)spectrum gives two BE values. The major peak with a BE = 854.0 eV and a less prominent one at 855.5 eV are in agreement with those reported for Ni³⁺ in LiMn_{1.5}Ni_{0.5}O₄ and Ni³⁺ in LiNiO₂, respectively. The deconvolution of the Mn(2p3/2) spectrum also gives two BEs for its best fit. Comparing our BEs with the values reported for Li(Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/} $_{3}Mn_{1/3}O_{2}$, we note that the major peak centered at 642.2 eV corresponds to Mn⁴⁺ and the minor one at 641.0 eV corresponds to Mn^{3+} ions in the compound. The Fe (2p3/2) spectra of all of the Fe-doped materials exhibit double-peaks with BE of \sim 711 and \sim 709 eV (Fig. 4c), which can be attributed to Fe^{3+} (Fig. 4c). The XPS spectra show that the predominant oxidation states of Ni and Mn in the undoped materials are 2+ and 4+, respectively. A minor contribution from Ni³⁺ and

Author's personal copy



Fig. 3 SEM images of $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_{(1-x-y)}O_2$ samples

 Mn^{3+} may be caused by a small amount of valence degeneracy through the dynamic equilibrium, $Ni^{2+} + Mn^{4+} \leftrightarrow Ni^{3+} + Mn^{3+}$ [18]. Compared with the other samples, the ratio of Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} and Ni^{3+}/Ni^{2+} decreases in $0.5Li_2$ $MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O_2$. Furthermore, no variation in the XPS core level spectra of Mn and Ni is detected between $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.2}O_2$ and the undoped material. The observations suggest that $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.2}O_2$ maintains charge balance when 0.1 M Mn and 0.1 M Ni are substituted with 0.2 M of Fe. In contrast, the ratio of Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} and Ni^{3+}/Ni^{2+} increases in $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.3}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.3}O_2$ samples.

3.4 Electrochemical analysis

The charge/discharge voltage curves for the Li/0.5Li₂ $MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_{(1-x-y)}O_2$ cells cycled between 2.5 and 4.8 V are shown in Fig. 5. During the initial charging to 4.8 V, the electrochemical reaction occurs in two main stages: a regular increase in voltage, which is attributed to

the removal of lithium from the electrode material accompanied with the oxidation of Ni²⁺ to Ni⁴⁺, and a voltage plateau above 4.5 V, which could be ascribed to the removal of Li₂O from the structure. With increasing Fe content, cells a, b, c, and d exhibit specific charge capacities of about 232, 241.4, 262.1, and 249.1 mAh g^{-1} , respectively. Samples a, b, and c deliver similar initial discharge capacities of about 160 mAh g^{-1} , while sample d shows an initial discharge capacity of 110 mAh g^{-1} . Moreover, the capacity of samples a, b, and c increases with the cycle number (as shown in Fig. 6). 0.5Li₂M $nO_3 \cdot 0.5 LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O_2$ electrode requires ~20 breakin cycles before a steady capacity of $\sim 210 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ is obtained [19], which is different from the phenomena of severe capacity deterioration of the material with obvious coexisting phase [11]. CV and EIS experiments were also performed to gain insight into the influence of Fe-doping on 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂.

CV curves obtained for the $0.5\text{Li}_2\text{MnO}_3 \cdot 0.5\text{LiMn}_x\text{Ni}_y$ Fe_(1-x-y)O₂ (0.3 $\leq x \leq 0.5$, 0.4 $\leq y \leq 0.5$) electrodes





between 2.0 and 5.0 V at a rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 7. During the first charging of the Li/0.5Li₂M- $nO_3 \cdot 0.5$ LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ cell, there are two oxidation peaks around 4.0 V denoted 1 and 2, respectively [20]. The CV obtained using the 0.5Li₂MnO₃ $\cdot 0.5$ LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂ electrode only exhibits one broad peak near 4.0 V, which

may result from the lower intensity of lithium clustering due to Fe-doping. Although samples a–c show a large irreversible peak starting at 4.45 V, the onset of the irreversible peak shifts to 4.69 V for $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.3}$ $Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.3}O_2$, which suggests that increasing the concentration of Fe will hinder the deintercalation of LiO from the



Fig. 4 continued



Fig. 5 Charge/discharge voltage curves obtained for Li/0.5Li₂MnO₃.0.5LiMn_xNi_y Fe_(1-x-y)O₂ cells

layered structure at a high potential. The reduction peaks (denoted 1' and 2', normally near 4 V) of sample d during the first discharge also move to higher voltage compared

with the other samples. In addition, the magnitude of two redox peaks at 3.2 V (denoted 3 and 3'), appearing after the electrochemically activation process upon the initial

200

150

(a)Sample a



Fig. 6 Cyclic performance of Li/0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_{(1-x-y)O₂} cells

cycling of solid solutions, become smaller for 0.5Li₂ MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.3}Ni_{0.4}Fe_{0.3}O₂, which demonstrates a decrease of reversible capacity of the material with the increase of Fe content.

Before EIS testing, the electrodes underwent five galvanostatic cycles between 2.5 and 4.8 V and were then charged for 4 h to ensure the stability of the solid electrolyte interface film and its good contact with the electrolyte on the surface of electrode. The corresponding Nyquist plots were given in Fig. 8. The diffusion coefficient of lithium ions, D_{Li} , can be obtained from analysis of the Warburg impedance, Z_W, which is expressed in a complex plane as follows [21, 22]:





J Appl Electrochem (2012) 42:409-417

(b) Sample b

200

150

Fig. 8 EIS of 0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_xNi_yFe_(1-x-y)O₂ samples

$$Z_{\rm re} = A - \sigma \omega^{-1/2} \tag{2}$$

$$D_{\rm Li} = R^2 T^2 / 2S^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2 \tag{3}$$

where R is the gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, S is the surface area of the cathode, n is the number of electrons involved in the reaction (n = 1), F is the Faraday constant, C is the concentration of lithium ions (in form of mol/mL), and σ is the Warburg factor. σ can be obtained from the slope of the real resistance (Z_{re}) versus the inverse square root of the angular frequency $(\omega^{-1/2})$. The lithium diffusion coefficients of samples a, b, c, and d are calculated to be 9.49×10^{-13} , 1.24×10^{-12} , 3.90×10^{-13} , and $3.92 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.



obtained for Li/

2.0 and 5.0 V

The results from EIS of cycled cells is credible to compare the varieties of lithium mobility between the samples. But EIS using three-electrode system, other method such as GITT and PITT are better choices to obtain a more precise diffusion coefficients. $0.5Li_2$ MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂ exhibits high Li⁺ diffusion coefficient, which is contributed to an improved structure consisting of a low proportion of the disordered cubic domain and large interslab distance of the predominant layered structure. In addition, as we know that John–teller distortion of cations, i.e., Ni³⁺ and Mn³⁺ induce the structural changes during cycling, and also dissolution into the electrolyte after disproportion of Mn³⁺ as reaction (4) also lead to the loss of active material

$$2Mn^{3+}(s) \rightarrow Mn^{4+}(s) + Mn^{2+}(Dissolution in electrolyte)$$
(4)

Therefore, a decrease of the John-teller-sensitive cations and good crystallization are also related to the high capacity and excellent cycling performance of $0.5Li_2MnO_3 \cdot 0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O_2$.

4 Conclusions

0.5Li₂MnO₃·0.5LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ with low Fe-doping content were synthesized via coprecipitation of carbonates and characterized by means of XRD, SEM, ICP-OES, XPS, CV, and galvanostatic charge/discharge testing. The composite structure is reasonable to understand the effect of Fe on its crystal structure and electrochemical properties. An introduction of Fe in a small amount can reduce the proportion of cubic rock-salt and broaden the interslab distance of the predominant layered structure. The favorable Li⁺ hopping kinetics from the microstructural and morphological point of view contributes to a high reversible capacity and good cycling stability of 0.5Li₂MnO₃ ·0.5LiMn_{0.4}Ni_{0.5}Fe_{0.1}O₂.

Acknowledgments This study was financially supported by the National 863 Program of China (No. 2009AA03Z226), the National Nature-Science Foundation of China (No. 50702007), and the Scientific Research Foundation of Beijing Excellent Doctoral Dissertation No. YB20081000801. F. Lian expresses her gratitude to

Dr. Meike Fleischhammer of ZSW for fruitful discussion on Rietveld refinement.

References

*Self-identifying references

- 1. Lee DK, Park SH, Amine K, Bang HJ, Parakash J, Sun YK (2006) J Power Sources 162:1346
- 2. Ohzuku T, Nagayama M, Tsuji K, Ariyoshi K (2011) J Mater Chem 21:10179
- Boulineau A, Croguennec L, Delmas C, Weill F (2009) Chem Mater 21:4216
- Lu ZH, Beaulieu LY, Donaberger RA, Thomas CL, Dahn JR (2002) J Electrochem Soc 149:A778
- Lim JH, Bang H, Lee KS, Amine K, Sun YK (2009) J Power Sources 189:571
- 6. Choi HJ, Lee KM, Lee JG (2001) J Power Sources 103:154
- 7. Lu ZH, Dahn JR (2003) J Electrochem Soc 150:A1044
- 8. Jain A, Singh S, Yusuf SM (2006) Phys Rev B74:174419
- Tabuchi M, Nabeshima Y, Takeuchi T, Tatsumi K, Imaizumi J, Nitta Y (2010) J Power Sources 195:834
- Tabuchi M, Nabeshima Y, Shikano M, Ado K, Kageyama H, Tatsumi K (2007) J Electrochem Soc 154:A638
- Kikkawa J, Akita T, Tabuchi M, Shikano M, Tatsumi K, Kohyama M (2008) J Appl Phys 103:104911
- Tabuchi M, Nakashima A, Ado K, Sakaebe H, Kobayashi H, Kageyama H, Tatsumi K, Kobayashi Y, Seki S, Yamanaka A (2005) J Power Sources 146:287
- 13. Velikokhatnyi OI, Choi D, Kumta PN (2006) Mater Sci Eng B 128:115
- *Lian F, Axmann P, Stinner C, Liu QG, Wohlfahrt-Mehrens M (2008) J Appl Electrochem 38:613
- Yabuuchi N, Yoshii K, Myung ST, Nakai L, Komaba S (2011) J Am Chem Soc 33:4404
- 16. Barkhouse DAR, Dahn JR (2005) J Electrochem Soc 152:A746
- 17. Shaju KM, Subba Rao GV, Chowdar BVR (2002) Electrochim Acta 48:145
- Yu DYW, Yanagida K, Nakamura H, Kato Y (2009) J Electrochem Soc 56:A417
- *Yu LY, Qiu WH, Lian F, Huang JY, Kang XL (2009) J Alloys Compd 471:317
- Rajakumar S, Thirunakaran R, Sivashanmugam A, Yamaki J, Gopukumar S (2009) J Electrochem Soc 156:246
- 21. Tang A, Wang X, Xu G, Zhou Z, Nie H (2009) Mater Lett 63:1439
- 22. Ni J, Zhou H, Chen J, Zhang X (2008) Electrochim Acta 53:3075